Semantics

Semantics

- Semantics is a precise definition of the meaning of a syntactically and type-wise correct program.
- Ideas of meaning:
 - Operational Semantics
 - The meaning attached by compiling using compiler C and executing using machine M. Ex: Fortran on IBM 709
 - Axiomatic Semantics
 - Formal specification to allow us to rigorously prove what the program does with a systematic logical argument
 - Denotational Semantics
 - · Statements as state transforming functions
- · We start with an informal, operational model

Program State

- Definition: The state of a program is the binding of all active objects to their current values.
- Maps:
 - 1. The pairing of active objects with specific memory locations, and
 - 2. The pairing of active memory locations with their current values.
- E.g. given i = 13 and j = -1
 - Environment = {<i,154>,<j,155>}
 - Memory = {<0, undef>, ... <154, 13>, <155, -1> ...}

- The current statement (portion of an abstract syntax tree) to be executed in a program is interpreted relative to the current state.
- The individual steps that occur during a program run can be viewed as a series of state transformations.

Assignment Semantics

- Three issues or approaches
 - Multiple assignment
 - Assignment statement vs. expression
 - Copy vs. reference semantics

Multiple Assignment

- Example:
- a = b = c = 0;
- Sets all 3 variables to zero.

Assignment Statement vs. Expression

- In most languages, assignment is a statement; cannot appear in an expression.
- In C-like languages, assignment is an expression.
 - Example:
 - if (a = 0) ... // an error?
 - while (*p++ = *q++) ; // strcpy
 - while (p = p->next) ... // ???

Copy vs. Reference Semantics

- Copy: a = b;
 - a, b have same value.
 - Changes to either have no effect on other.
 - Used in imperative languages.
- Reference
 - a, b point to the same object.
 - A change in object state affects both
 - Used by many object-oriented languages.

State Transformations

- **Defn**: The *denotational semantics* of a language defines the meanings of abstract language elements as a collection of state-transforming functions.
- **Defn**: A *semantic domain* is a set of values whose properties and operations are independently well-understood and upon which the rules that define the semantics of a language can be based.

Partial Functions

- State-transforming functions in the semantic definition are necessarily partial functions
- A partial function is one that is not welldefined for all possible values of its domain (input state)

C-Like Semantics

- State represent the set of all program states
- A *meaning* function M is a mapping:
 - M: Program \rightarrow State
 - M: Statement x State \rightarrow State
 - M: Expression x State \rightarrow Value

Meaning Rule - Program

 The meaning of a *Program* is defined to be the meaning of the *body* when given an initial state consisting of the variables of the *decpart* initialized to the *undef* value corresponding to the variable's type.

State M (Program p) {

```
// Program = Declarations decpart; Statement body
return M(p.body, initialState(p.decpart));
```

}

public class State extends HashMap { ... }

```
State initialState (Declarations d) {
    State state = new State();
    for (Declaration decl : d)
        state.put(decl.v, Value.mkValue(decl.t));
    return state;
}
```

Statements

- M: Statement x State \rightarrow State
- Abstract Syntax
 Statement = Skip | Block | Assignment | Loop | Conditional

State M(Statement s, State state) {

- if (s instanceof Skip) return M((Skip)s, state);
- if (s instanceof Assignment) return M((Assignment)s, state);
- if (s instanceof Block) return M((Block)s, state);
- if (s instanceof Loop) return M((Loop)s, state);
- if (s instanceof Conditional) return M((Conditional)s, state);
- throw new IllegalArgumentException();

}

Meaning Rule - Skip

• The meaning of a *Skip* is an identity function on the state; that is, the state is unchanged.

State M(Skip s, State state) { return state;

}

Meaning Rule - Assignment

• The meaning of an Assignment statement is the result of replacing the value of the *target* variable by the computed value of the *source* expression in the current state

Assignment = Variable target; Expression source

```
State M(Assignment a, State state) {
    return state.onion(a.target, M(a.source, state));
}
```

// onion replaces the value of target in the map by the source // called onion because the symbol used is sometimes sigma σ to represent state

Meaning Rule - Conditional

- The meaning of a conditional is:
 - If the test is true, the meaning of the thenbranch;
 - Otherwise, the meaning of the elsebranch

Conditional = Expression test; Statement thenbranch, elsebranch

```
State M(Conditional c, State state) {
if (M(c.test, state).boolValue())
    return M(c.thenbranch);
else
    return M(e.elsebranch, state);
}
```

Expressions

- M: Expression x State \rightarrow Value
- Expression = Variable | Value | Binary | Unary
- Binary = BinaryOp op; Expression term1, term2
- Unary = UnaryOp op; Expression term
- Variable = String id
- Value = IntValue | BoolValue | CharValue | FloatValue

Meaning Rule – Expr in State

- The meaning of an expression in a state is a value defined by:
 - 1. If a value, then the value. Ex: 3
 - 2. If a variable, then the value of the variable in the state.
 - 3. If a Binary:
 - a) Determine meaning of term1, term2 in the state.
 - b) Apply the operator according to rule 8.8 (perform addition/subtraction/multiplication/division)

```
Value M(Expression e, State state) {
if (e instanceof Value) return (Value)e;
if (e instanceof Variable) return (Value)(state.get(e));
if (e instanceof Binary) {
    Binary b = (Binary)e;
    return applyBinary(b.op, M(b.term1, state),
        M(b.term2, state);
}
```

Formalizing the Type System

- Approach: write a set of function specifications that define what it means to be type safe
- Basis for functions: Type Map, tm
 - $tm = \{ <\!\! \mathsf{v}_1, \! t_1\!\! >, <\!\! \mathsf{v}_2, \! t_2\!\! >, \, \dots <\!\! \mathsf{v}_n, \! t_n\!\! > \}$
 - Each v_i represents a variable and t_i its type
 - Example:
 - int i,j; boolean p;
 - *tm* = { <i, int>, <j, int>, <p, boolean> }

Declarations

- · How is the type map created?
 - When we declare variables
- typing: Declarations \rightarrow Typemap
 - i.e. declarations produce a typemap
- More formally – typing(Declarations d) = $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \langle d_i.v, d_i.t \rangle$
 - i.e. the union of every declaration variable name and type
 - In Java we implemented this using a HashMap

Semantic Domains and States

- Beyond types, we must determine semantically what the syntax means
- Semantic Domains are a formalism we will use
 - Environment, γ = set of pairs of variables and memory locations
 - γ = {<i, 100>, <j, 101>} for i at Addr 100, j at Addr 101
 - Memory, μ = set of pairs of memory locations and the value stored there
 - $\mu = \{<100, 10>, <101, 50>\}$ for Mem(100)=10, Mem(101)=50
 - State of the program, σ = set of pairs of active variables and their current values
 - $\sigma = \{ <i, 10 >, <j, 50 > \}$ for i=10, j=50

State Example

- At this point σ = {<x,1>,<y,9>,<z,3>}
 w=4;
 At this point σ = {<x,1>,<y,9>,<z,3>, <w,4>}
- Can also have expressions; e.g. $\sigma(x>0) = true$

Overriding Union

State transformation represented using the Overriding Union

 $X \cup Y$ =replace all pairs <x,v> whose first member matches a pair <x,w> from Y by <x,w> and then add to X any remaining pairs in Y

Example:
$$\sigma_1 = \{ < x, 1 >, < y, 2 >, < z, 3 > \}$$

 $\sigma_2 = \{ < y, 9 >, < w, 4 >$
 $\sigma_1 \overline{\bigcup} \sigma_2 = \{ < x, 1 >, < y, 9 >, < z, 3 >, < w, 4 > \}$

This will be used for assignment of a variable

Denotational Semantics

 Σ : Set of all program states σ

M: Meaning function

- Meaning function
 - Input: abstract class, current state
 - Output: new state

 $M: Class \times \Sigma \to \Sigma$

Let's revisit our Meaning Rules and redefine them using our more Formal Denotational Semantics

Denotational Semantics

 $M : Program \rightarrow \Sigma$ $M(Program p) = M(p.body, \sigma_{init})$ $\sigma_{init} = \{ < v_1, undef >, < v_2, undef >, ..., < v_n, undef > \}$ Meaning of a program: produce final state

This is just the meaning of the body in an initial state Java implementation:

```
State M (Program p) {
     // Program = Declarations decpart; Statement body
     return M(p.body, initialState(p.decpart));
}
```

public class State extends HashMap { ... }

Meaning for Statements

- M : Statement × State \rightarrow State
- M (Statement s, State σ) = M ((Skip) s, σ) if s is a Skip M ((Assignment) s, σ) if s is Assignment M ((Conditional) s, σ) if s is Conditional M ((Loop) s, σ) if s is a Loop M ((Block) s, σ) if s is a Block

Semantics of Skip

• Skip

 $M(Skip \ s, State \ \sigma) = \sigma$

• Skip statement can't change the state

Semantics of Assignment

· Evaluate expression and assign to var

```
M : Assignment \times \Sigma \to \SigmaM(Assignment a, State \sigma) = \sigma \overline{U} \{ < a.target, M(a.source, \sigma) > \}
```

• Examples of: x=a+b

$$\sigma = \{ < a, 3 >, < b, 1 >, < x, 88 > \}$$

$$M(x = a + b;, \sigma) = \sigma \overline{U} \{ < x, M(a + b, \sigma) > \}$$

$$\sigma = \{ < a, 3 >, < b, 1 >, < x, 4 > \}$$

Semantics of Conditional

 $M(Conditional c, State \sigma)$ $= M(c.thenbranch, \sigma) \quad if \ M(c.test, \sigma) \ is \ true$ $= M(c.elsebranch, \sigma) \quad otherwise$ If (a>b) max=a; else max=b $\sigma = \{ < a, 3 > < b, 1 > \}$ $M(\text{if } (a > b)\text{max} = a; \text{else max} = b;, \sigma)$ $= M(\text{max} = a;, \sigma) \quad if \ M(a > b, \sigma) \ is \ true$ $= M(\text{max} = b;, \sigma) \quad otherwise;$

Conditional, continued

$$\sigma = \{\langle a, 3 \rangle \langle b, 1 \rangle\}$$

$$M \text{ (if } (a > b) \max = a; \text{ else } \max = b;, \sigma)$$

$$= M (\max = a;, \sigma) \quad since \ M (a > b, \sigma) \text{ is true}$$

$$= \sigma \overline{U} \{\langle \max, 3 \rangle\}$$

$$= \sigma \{\langle a, 3 \rangle, \langle b, 1 \rangle, \langle \max, 3 \rangle\}$$

Semantics of Block

· Block is just a sequence of statements

 $M(Block \ b, State \ \sigma)$ $= \sigma \qquad if \ b = \varphi$ $= M((Block \)b_{2...n}, M((Statement \)b_1, \sigma)) \ if \ b = b_1b_2...b_n$ • Example for Block b: fact = fact * i; i = i - 1;

Block example

•
$$b_1 = fact = fact * i;$$

• $b_2 = i = i - 1;$
• $M(b,\sigma) = M(b_2,M(b_1,\sigma))$
= $M(i=i-1,M(fact=fact*i,\sigma))$
= $M(i=i-1,M(fact=fact*i,\{,\}))$
= $M(i=i-1,\{,\})$
= $\{,\}$

Semantics of Loop

• Loop = Expression test; Statement body

 $M(Loop l, State \sigma)$ = $M(l, M(l.body, \sigma))$ if $M(l.test, \sigma)$ is true = σ otherwise

• Recursive definition

Loop Example

• Initial state $\sigma = \{ < N, 3 > \}$

```
fact=1;
i=N;
while (i>1) {
    fact = fact * i;
    i = i -1;
}
After first two statements, \sigma =
```

```
{<fact,1>,<N,3>,<i,3>}
```

Loop Example

$$\begin{split} \sigma &= \{ < \text{fact}, 1 >, < \text{N}, 3 >, < i, 3 > \} \\ \text{M(while(i>1) } \{ ... \}, \sigma) \\ &= \text{M(while(i>1) } \{ ... \}, \text{M(fact=fact*i; i=i-1;, } \sigma) \\ &= \text{M(while(i>1) } \{ ... \}, \{ < \text{fact}, 3 >, < \text{N}, 3 >, < i, 2 > \} \} \\ &= \text{M(while(i>1) } \{ ... \}, \{ < \text{fact}, 6 >, < \text{N}, 3 >, < i, 1 > \} \} \\ &= \text{M(\sigma)} \\ &= \{ < \text{fact}, 6 >, < \text{N}, 3 >, < i, 1 > \} \end{split}$$

Defining Meaning of Arithmetic Expressions for Integers

First let's define ApplyBinary, meaning of binary operations:

 $\begin{aligned} &ApplyBinary: Operator \times Value \times Value \rightarrow Value \\ &ApplyBinary(Operator op, Value v_1, Value v_2) \\ &= v_1 + v_2 & if op = + \\ &= v_1 - v_2 & if op = - \\ &= v_1 \times v_2 & if op = * \\ &= floor\left(\left|\frac{v_1}{v_2}\right|\right) \times sign(v_1 \times v_2) & if op = / \end{aligned}$

Denotational Semantics for Arithmetic Expressions

Use our definition of ApplyBinary to expressions: $M : Expression \times State \rightarrow Value$ $M(Expression \ e, State \ \sigma)$ = e if e is a Value $= \sigma(e)$ if e is a Value = ApplyBinary(e.op, $M(e.term1, \sigma),$ $M(e.term2, \sigma))$ if e is a Binary

Recall: op, term1, term2, defined by the Abstract Syntax term1,term2 can be any expression, not just binary

Arithmetic Example

- Compute the meaning of x+2*y
- Current state σ={<x,2>,<y,-3>,<z,75>}
- Want to show: $M(x+2^*y,\sigma) = -4$
 - x+2*y is Binary
 - From M(Expression e, State σ) this is ApplyBinary(e.op, M(e.term1, σ), M(e.term2, σ)) = ApplyBinary(+,M(x, σ),M(2*y, σ)) = ApplyBinary(+,2,M(2*y, σ)) M(2*y, σ) is also Binary, which expands to: ApplyBinary(*,M(2, σ), M(y, σ)) = ApplyBinary(*,2,-3) = -6

Back up: ApplyBinary(+,2,-6) = -4

Java Implementation

```
Value M(Expression e, State state) {
  if (e instanceof Value) return (Value)e;
  if (e instanceof Variable) return (Value)(state.get(e));
  if (e instanceof Binary) {
    Binary b = (Binary)e;
    return applyBinary(b.op, M(b.term1, state),
        M(b.term2, state);
  }
...
```

Code close to the denotational semantic definition!